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## Women in Higher Education:

I have Never Been a Woman So Why Would I know anything about this topic?

- Much that I do not know, because I have never been a woman, but...
- 50 years experience in academia
- 127 Female Doctoral Students (dissertation committees)
- Research publications with 58 female researchers
- Conducted Research on mentoring women vs. men
- Experience on tenure and promotion and recruitment committees
- Experience recruiting faculty
- Experience establishing a major university's public policy school
- Service as the man on NSF Advance Committees
- "Love Knowledge": I have women friends and family that I Love


## What Are Some Reasons for Being an Intentional Advocate for Women in Higher Education (and other workplaces)?

- Perhaps you can catch a career tidal wave and ride it?
- Perhaps doing so aligns with your concept of morality and human decency?
- Developing S\&T Human Capital (policy commitment). Why throw away half a nation's talent????

| Year | Male | Female |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2010 | $50.80 \%$ | $49.20 \%$ | There is Progress: |
| 2011 | $51.33 \%$ | $48.67 \%$ | U.S. College Professor Gender Ratio <br> By Year |
| 2012 | $51.00 \%$ | $49.00 \%$ |  |
| 2013 | $50.56 \%$ | $49.44 \%$ | 1980: 19.6\% <br> 1950: 8.6\% |
| 2014 | $49.44 \%$ | $50.56 \%$ | Percentage of Women attending college |
| 2015 | $50.28 \%$ | $49.72 \%$ | in 1950: 1.2\% |
| 2016 | $49.94 \%$ | $50.06 \%$ |  |
| 2017 | $50.53 \%$ | $49.47 \%$ |  |
| 2018 | $49.95 \%$ | $50.05 \%$ |  |
| 2019 | $49.52 \%$ | $50.48 \%$ |  |
| 2020 | $48.87 \%$ | $51.13 \%$ |  |
| 2021 | $50.17 \%$ | $49.83 \%$ |  |

# Maybe Worry About Minorities? US College Professors by Race 

White
Asian
Hispanic or Latino
Black or African American
Unknown
American Indian and Alaska Native
66.3\% (75\% US population)
11.3\% (7\% of US population)
10.1\% (19\% of US population)
7.1\% (15\% of US population)
4.9\%
0.3\% (3\% of US population)

College Professor Gender Pay Gap
Women Earn 94\$ For Every $\$ 1$ Earned By Men
As of 2021, only 35\% of female tenure/tenure-track faculty were classified as Full Drofessors, compared with $51 \%$ of male tenure/tenure track faculty.

## 46\% Women in "contingent" positions, 35\% of men

## Let the Story Telling Begin!



## Story: We Only Include the Best!

Version 1: Israeli National Planning Board

Version 2: Economics Departments
Version 3: Intersectionality at ASU School of Public Affairs


## Stories: Women are Not Always Your Friend


"The Case of
The Case of Monica at the NSF the All-Male Doctoral Committee"

## Story: What Can We Do About Attribution

 Error?Do people dislike me because I am a woman, because I am Hispanic, because I am their boss, or because I am a jerk?


## Stories: What Can Men Do to Help Women? Try Breaking the Rules

- Prelude: The Case of Organizational Phantoms
- The Case of the Culture Class Sex Harassment in the Grad Pool.
- The Case of Draconian Parental Leave Policy
- The Case of the Indigent Secretary
- Counter-Example: The Case of the Culturally Challenged Doctoral Mentor

Chapter 8: It's better to ask for forgiveness than seek permission


# What could possibly be more important than gender match in mentoring? 

The MATCH between the Mentor's
Endowments and Protégé's Preferences


Increasing
Quantity
Figure. Effectiveness Concept for "Good of Fit" Mentoring Theory

Table: Illustrative Matching of STEM Mentor and Protégé Preference

|  | Mentor ${ }^{66} \mathrm{MP}^{\prime \prime}$ | Protégé 66P99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mentoring <br> Preference <br> Variables |  |  |
| Work Orientation |  |  |
| Task-Oriented | H | H |
| Socio-Emotional | L | L |
| Team-Focused | H | L |
| Teaching Orientation |  |  |
| Directive | H | H |
| By Example | M | M |
| Indirect (metaphors, stories) | L | M |
| Spontancity | M | M |
| Learning Preference |  |  |
| Active | H | H |
| Absorptive | L | L |
| Team not individual | L | M |
|  |  |  |
| Research skills | M | M |
| Network ties | H | H |
| Formal knowledge | M | H |
| Research equipment operation | L | H |
| Project management | L | L |
| Placement-focused | M | M |
| Personal Attributes |  |  |
| Gender match | H | M |
| Race match | L | L |
| National origin | L | L |
| Matched personal (non-work) interests | M | M |

Research on Gender and Mentoring: Highly Inconclusive, but my Favorite: Sosik, J. J., \& Godshalk, V. M. (2000). The role of gender in mentoring: Implications for diversified and homogenous mentoring relationships. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 57(1), 102-122.

- $M / M$ ( $n$ )
- $M / F(n)$
- $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{n})$
- F/M (+)
- BB/AS (+)



## Stories: But Gender Can Be Important in Mentoring

- Bozeman John Wayne Question
- Job Bargaining
- Paycheck Provider Problem
- Conference Shadowing


